

Length-weight relationship of ten species of Leuciscinae sub-family (Cyprinidae) from Iranian inland waters

Soheil Eagderi , Atta Mouludi-Saleh, Erdogan Çiçek

Received: 23 January 2020 / Accepted: 16 April 2020 / Published online: 16 May 2020
© The Author(s) 2020

Abstract In this study, the length-weight relationships (LWRs) were estimated for 697 specimens belonging to ten species of Leuciscinae subfamily, viz. *Squalius berak*, *S. namak*, *S. turcicus*, *Acanthobrama marmid*, *A. microlepis*, *Chondrostoma esmaeilii*, *Leuciscus latus*, *Alburnus doriae*, *Leucaspius delineates* and *Blicca bjoerkna* from Iranian inland waters, including the Persian Gulf, Caspian Sea, Namak Lake, Dasht-e Kavir, Hari River and Isfahan basins. Total length and total weight of the examined specimens ranged 3.8–27.8 cm and 0.59–247 g, respectively. Fishes were collected using electrofishing device between July 2010 and August 2017. This study represents the first reports of LWRs data for *A. doriae*, *C. esmaeilii*, *L. latus* and *L. delineates*. The length-weight parameter b found to be 2.75 (*A. microlepis*) - 3.44 (*B. bjoerkna*) with regression coefficients (r^2) ranging 0.87 to 0.99 for the studied fishes. The student's t-test showed all studied species have a positive allometric growth pattern except *A. doriae* (isometric) and *A. microlepis* (negative allometric).

Keywords LWRs . *Leuciscinae* . *Chondrostoma esmaeilii* . *Leuciscus latus*

Introduction

Study of fish species and their populations are important to understand their biological aspects in order to optimal management of their resources (Jalili et al. 2015; Mouludi-Saleh et al. 2017). The length-weight relationship (LWR) of fishes is applied and important tool to estimate the weight of a specimen from its length and vice versa, to estimate the biomass, evaluation of fish stocks, ontogenetic changes and growth studies (Kumolu-Johnson and Ndimele 2010; Mouludi-Saleh and Keivany 2018; Jafari-Patcan et al. 2018; Mouludi-Saleh and Eagderi 2019). Based on the latest checklist of freshwater fishes of Iran, a total of 297 species have been reported from Iranian inland water basins (Esmaili et al. 2018), with Leuciscinae including 25 species. Since biological data of fishes such as LWR is important for both fishery biology and taxonomic studies for proper management and conservation (Oscoz et al. 2005), hence, this study was conducted to determine LWRs of the ten species of the subfamily Leuciscinae from Iranian inland waters.

Materials and methods

A total of 64 specimens of *Squalius berak*, 86 *S. turcicus*, 49 *S. namak*, 12 *Leuciscus latus*, 11 *Chondrostoma esmaeilii*, 9 *Acanthobrama marmid*, 11 *A. microlepis*, 28 *Alburnus doriae*, 17 *Leucaspius delineatus* and 392 *Blicca bjoerkna* were collected between July 2010 and August 2017 using electrofishing device (SAMUS MP750) (Table 1).

Specimens were preserved in 10% buffered formalin after anesthesia, and transported to the fisheries laboratory of University of Tehran (Iran). The total length (TL) and body weight of each specimen were

Soheil Eagderi (✉), Atta Mouludi-Saleh
Department of Fisheries, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran.
e-mail: soheil.eagderi@ut.ac.ir

Erdogan Çiçek
Department of Biology, Faculty of Art and Sciences, Nevşehir Hacı Bektaş Veli University, 50300, Nevşehir, Turkey.



Table 1 Data of sampling stations

Species	River	Basin	Latitude	Longitude
<i>Squalius berak</i> Heckel, 1843	Khersan	Persian Gulf	31°14'36"N	50°59'27"E
	Choman	Persian Gulf	36°01'41"N	45°57'48"E
	Bane	Persian Gulf	35°54'31"N	45°56'36"E
	Gaveh	Persian Gulf	34°53'45"N	47°23'42"E
	Tajan	Caspian Sea	36°12'13"N	53°05'10"E
<i>Squalius turcicus</i> De Filippi, 1865	Talar	Caspian Sea	36°11'74"N	53°00'92"E
	Balekhlo-Chay	Caspian Sea	38°00'46"N	47°58'24"E
	Kheyr	Caspian Sea	36°36'35"N	51°33'45"E
	Sefid	Caspian Sea	36°53'42"N	49°30'55"E
	Siyah	Caspian Sea	36°26'39"N	52°53'43"E
<i>Squalius namak</i> Khaefi, Esmacili, Sayyadzadeh, Geiger & Freyhof, 2016	Ghareh-Chai	Namak Lake	34°52'48"N	50°02'16"E
	Mazlaghan-Chay	Namak Lake	35°06'50"N	49°40'39"E
	Nam	Dasht-e Kavir	35°42'41"N	52°40'19"E
<i>Leuciscus latus</i> Keyserling, 1861	Hari	Hari River	35°56'49"N	61°08'59"E
<i>Chondrostoma esmaeilii</i> Eagderi, Jouladeh-Roudbar, Sungur Birecikligil, Çiçek & Coad, 2017	Sarab-e Ravansar	Persian Gulf	32°42'47.60"N	46°39'20"E
<i>Acanthobrama marmid</i> Heckel, 1843	Dinevar	Persian Gulf	36°01'29"N	49°28'07"E
<i>Acanthobrama microlepis</i> (De Filippi, 1863)	Sefid	Caspian Sea	36°01'29"N	49°28'07"E
<i>Alburnus doriae</i> De Filippi, 1865	Toof-Sefid	Isfahan	32°29'03"N	50°10'58"E
<i>Leucaspis delineatus</i> (Heckel, 1843)	Sefid	Caspian Sea	32°29'1.77"N	50°11'0.87"E
<i>Blicca bjoerkna</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Aras	Caspian Sea	39°07'19.61"N	46°52'7.54"E

measured using digital calipers and an electronic balance to the nearest 0.01 mm and 0.01 g, respectively. The length-weight relationship was determined by the method of least squares using the equation of $W = aL^b$ and logarithmically transformed into $\text{Log}W = \text{Log}a + b\text{Log}L$ (Froese 2006), where W is the total body weight (g), L is the total length (cm) and “ a ” is the intercept and “ b ” is the slope.

Prior to regression analyses, log-log plots of the length-weight pairs were performed to identify outliers (Froese et al. 2011). Outliers perceive in the log–log plots of all species were removed from the regression. The degree of correlation between the variables was computed by the determination coefficient “ r^2 ”. The significance level of r^2 was estimated by ANOVA. The student’s t-test (ts) was used to determine whether the parameter b is significantly different from the expected or theoretical value of 3 (i.e. $b = 3$, $P < 0.05$). All statistical analyses were performed in Excel 2016.

Results and discussion

The length-weight relationship data of fish species is an important parameter in estimating the length and age structure of its dynamic population, playing a key role in fisheries assessments. In addition, it can provide information regarding the storage population, age at maturity, life cycle, mortality, and type and rate of the growth (Sorosh Hadad et al. 2018). In the current study, LWRs of 697 fishes of Leuciscinae belonging to ten species were calculated with the first reports of LWR data for *A. doriae*, *C. esmaeilii*, *L. latus* and *L. delineates*.

The results showed b -values of the studied species ranging 2.75-3.44 and regression coefficients (r^2) of 0.87 to 0.99 (Table 2). The b parameter was 3.14 for *S. berak*, 3.09 for *S. namak*, 3.17 for *S. turcicus* 3.11 for *L. latus*, 3.37 for *C. esmaeilii*, 3.29 for *A. marmid*, 2.75 for *A. microlepis*, 3.04 for *A. doriae*, 3.35 for *L. delineates* and 3.44 for *B. bjoerkna*. The values of b parameter in LWRs falls between 2.5 and 3.5 (Froese 2006) or 2-4 (Tesch 1971). In this study, b -values of the studied fish species were within these expected ranges.

In length-weight relationships (LWRs), a b -values which higher and lower than 3 indicated positive



Table 2 Descriptive statistics and length-weight relationship parameters for ten species of Leuciscinae from different basins of Iran during 2010–2017.

Species	N	Total length (cm)		Weight (g)		Regression parameters					Growth pattern
		Min	Max	Min	Max	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>r</i> ²	95% CL of <i>b</i>	95% CL of <i>a</i>	
<i>S. berak</i>	64	5.42	19.02	1.74	99.13	0.009	3.14	0.99	3.06-3.21	0.008-0.011	A ⁺
<i>S. namak</i>	49	8.21	16.62	6.38	66.56	0.010	3.09	0.96	2.93-3.25	0.007-0.015	A ⁺
<i>S. turcicus</i>	86	3.8	15.62	0.59	53.43	0.007	3.17	0.99	3.12-3.23	0.006-0.008	A ⁺
<i>L. latus</i>	12	7.45	16.25	9.12	50.01	0.0072	3.11	0.97	2.97-3.12	0.0031-0.0154	A ⁺
<i>C. esmaeilii</i>	11	9.7	13.65	12.05	43.82	0.0059	3.37	0.94	2.9-3.37	0.0004-0.018	A ⁺
<i>A. marmid</i>	9	4.85	15.49	0.98	58.9	0.007	3.29	0.99	2.55-3.83	0.002-0.053	A ⁺
<i>A. microlepis</i>	11	9.19	12.59	9.81	21.39	0.019	2.75	0.87	2.44-2.77	0.006-0.06	A ⁻
<i>A. doriae</i>	28	7.7	14.15	4.45	26.3	0.009	3.04	0.96	2.76-3.23	0.005-0.016	I
<i>L. delineatus</i>	17	6.03	8.75	1.69	6.47	0.0042	3.35	0.96	3.12-3.78	0.0019-0.006	A ⁺
<i>B. bjoerkna</i>	392	13.7	27.8	26	247	0.013	3.44	0.96	3.22-3.48	0.004-0.09	A ⁺

N = number of individuals; Min = minimum; Max = maximum; *a* = intercept; *b* = slope; CL = confidence limits; *r*² = correlation coefficient; I = isometric, A⁺ = positive and A⁻ = negative allometric growth pattern.

and negative allometric, respectively. Based on the results, allometric growth pattern was positive for *S. berak*, *S. namak*, *S. turcicus*, *C. esmaeilii*, *A. marmid*, *L. latus*, *L. delineates* and *B. bjoerkna*, isometric for *A. doriae* and negative for *A. microlepis*. The parameter *b*-value of the previously studied *Squalius* species of Iran reported to be 3.14 to 3.37 (3.14 for *S. berak*, 3.25, *S. namak* and 3.37 for *S. turcicus*) (Mouludi-Saleh and Keivany 2018). In Totkabon River (Caspian Sea basin, Iran), *b*-value for *A. microlepis* has been reported to be 2.429 (Zamani-Faradonbe et al. 2015). Asadi et al. (2017) reported a *b*-value of 3.001 for *A. microlepis* from the Shahrbijar River, Southern Caspian Sea basin, Iran. The length-weight relationship parameters may be changed between different seasons and are affected by factors such as size, temperature, maturity, salinity and availability of food. Furthermore, the degree of the sexual maturity, diet, fullness or emptying gut and sampling techniques, number and duration of sampling can affect its value (Yildirim et al. 1998; Wootton 2003; Abbasi et al. 2019). In conclusion, this study provides the first report of LWR data for *A. doriae*, *C. esmaeilii*, *L. latus* and *L. delineates*, and added further data to those previously reported species and can be used as baseline for future studies.

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Abbasi K, Mouludi-Saleh A, Eagderi S, Sarpanah A (2019) Length-weight relationship and condition factor of eight species of the genera *Capoeta*, *Garra*, *Chondrostoma*, *Schizothorax* and *Paraschistura* from Iranian inland waters. Iran J Ichthyol 6(4): 26-270. <https://doi.org/10.22034/iji.v6i4.432>
- Asadi H, Sattari M, Motalebi Y, Zamani-Faradonbeh M, Gheytsi A (2017) Length-weight relationship and condition factor of seven fish species from Shahrbijar River, Southern Caspian Sea basin, Iran. Iran J Fish Sci 16(2): 733-741
- Esmaili HR, Sayyadzadeh G, Eagderi S, Abbasi K (2018) Checklist of freshwater fishes of Iran. FishTaxa 3(3): 1-95
- Faradonbeh MZ, Eagderi S, Ghoghji F (2015) Length-weight relationship and condition factor of seven fish species of Totkabon River (southern Caspian Sea basin), Guilan, Iran. Int J Aqua Biol 3(3): 172-176. <https://doi.org/10.22034/ijab.v3i3.94>
- Froese R (2006) Cube law, condition factor and weight-length relationships: history, meta-analysis and recommendations. J Appl Ichthyol 22: 241-253. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2006.00805.x>
- Froese R, Tsikliras AC, Stergiou KI (2011) Editorial note on weight-length relations of fishes. Acta Ichthyol Piscat 41(4): 261–263. <https://doi.org/10.3750/AIP2011.41.4.01>
- Jafari-Patcan A, Eagderi S, Mouludi-Saleh A (2018) Length-weight relationship for four fish species from the Oman Sea, Iran. Int J Aqua Biol 6(5): 294-295. <https://doi.org/10.22034/ijab.v6i5.562>
- Jalili P, Eagderi S, Keivany Y (2015) Body shape comparison of Kura bleak (*Alburnus filippii*) in Aras and Ahar-Chai rivers using geometric morphometric approach. Res Zoo 5(1): 20-24. <https://doi.org/10.5923/j.zoology.20150501.03>
- Kumolu-Johnson CA, Ndimele PE (2010). Length-weight relationships and condition factors of twenty-one fish species in Ologe Lagoon, Lagos, Nigeria. Asian J Agri sci 2(4): 174-179
- Mouludi-Saleh A, Eagderi S (2019) Length-weight relationship and condition factor of ten fish species (Cyprinidae, Sisoridae, Mugilidae, Cichlidae, Gobiidae and Channidae) from Iranian inland waters. J Wild Biodivers 3(4): 12-15. <https://doi.org/10.22120/jwb.2019.107947.1068>
- Mouludi-Saleh A, Keivany Y (2018) Length-weight and length-length relationships for three species of *Squalius* (Cyprinidae;



- Leuciscinae) from the Caspian Sea, Namak and Tigris basins of Iran. J Appl Ichthyol 34: 1207-1209. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.13737>
- Mouludi-Saleh A, Keivany Y, Jalali SAH (2017) Geometric morphometric comparison of Namak Chub (*Squalius namak*, Khaefi et al. 2016) in Rivers of Lake Namak Basin of Iran. Res Zool 7(1): 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.5923/j.zoology.20170701.01>
- Oscoz J, Campos F, Escala MC (2005) Weight-length relationships of some fish species of the Iberian Peninsula. J Appl Ichthyol 21(1): 73-74. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2004.00587.x>
- Sorosh Hadad M, Imanpour Namin J, Nasrollahzade A, Sattari M (2018) Length and weight ratio, gonadosomatic and hepatosomatic indexes, growth patterns and condition factor of *Alburnus chalcoides* in the Southwest coastlines of the Caspian Sea (Guilan province). J Fish 71(3): 286-293. <https://doi.org/10.22059/jfisheries.2019.275645.1083>
- Tesch FW (1971) Age and growth. In: WE Ricker (Ed.), Methods for assessment of fish production in fresh waters (pp. 98–130). Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications
- Wootton RJ (2003) Ecology of teleost fishes. Chapman and Hall Ltd. 404 p
- Yildirim A, Erdegan O, Turkmen M, Demir BC (1998) The investigation of some reproduction characteristics of the *Alburnoides bipunctatus fasciatus* (Nordman, 1840) living in Oltu stream of Coruh river (Artvin, Turkey). Turk J Zoo 25: 163-168

Publisher's Note

IAU remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

